

Zoetis Inc

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction.....	7
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?.....	7
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	7
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	7
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	7
(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?	8
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	8
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	8
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	16
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	17
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	18
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities	20
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	20
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	21
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?.....	22
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.	22
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	30
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	30
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?.....	31
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	32
(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	33
C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities	35
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	35
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.....	36

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?.....	47
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?.....	56
(3.3.1) Provide the total number and financial value of all water-related fines.	57
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?	58
(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.	58
(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.....	58
(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.	59
(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?	60
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	60
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.....	61

C4. Governance..... 66

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	66
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	67
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.	68
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?.....	70
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?	71
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	72
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?.....	82
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).....	84
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?	86
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.....	86
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?.....	89
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	90
(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?	91

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.....	95
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?.....	105
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.....	106

C5. Business strategy..... 110

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	110
(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?	111
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?	111
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	112
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.....	116
(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?	117
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?	117
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	118
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	119
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	119
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	121
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?	122
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	123
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.....	125
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	127

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach..... 134

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	134
--	-----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change..... 135

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	135
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	135
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	135

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2? ..	136
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.....	136
(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.....	136
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?	137
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.	137
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	138
(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?.....	141
(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?.....	141
(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.....	142
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.....	148
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	148
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	148
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	153
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?	153
(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO ₂	153
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?.....	153
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	154
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	176
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.	176
(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.	177
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	199
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.	200
(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.	200
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	257
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	257
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	257
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	258

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.	261
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	262
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.	270
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	272
(7.30.17) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity purchases in the reporting year by country/area.	320
(7.30.18) Provide details of your organization's low-carbon heat, steam, and cooling purchases in the reporting year by country/area.	328
(7.30.19) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity generation by country/area in the reporting year.	328
(7.30.20) Describe how your organization's renewable electricity sourcing strategy directly or indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid in the countries/areas in which you operate.	334
(7.30.21) In the reporting year, has your organization faced barriers or challenges to sourcing renewable electricity?	334
(7.30.22) Provide details of the country/area-specific challenges to sourcing renewable electricity faced by your organization in the reporting year.	335
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	337
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	338
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?.....	343
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	343
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	347
(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.	347
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.	349
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	352
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	352
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	352
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	371
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?.....	372
(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?	372

C9. Environmental performance - Water security 373

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?.....	373
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?.....	373

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?	378
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.	381
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	383
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.	386
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	388
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.	389
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?	424
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.	426
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	427
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?	427
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?	428
(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?	428
C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity	429
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	429
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?	429
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?.....	429
C13. Further information & sign off.....	431
(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?	431
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.	431
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.	431
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.	432

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

For over 70 years, Zoetis has supported those who raise and care for animals—from veterinary clinics and homes to farms and ranches. We strive to be the most trusted and valued animal health company, shaping the future of animal care through our innovation, customer obsession and purpose-driven colleagues, and we care deeply about helping pets live longer, healthier lives, and improving the health, welfare and productivity of livestock. A Fortune 500 company, Zoetis generated revenue of 8.5 billion in 2023 with approximately 14,100 colleagues. The company's leading portfolio and pipeline of medicines, vaccines, diagnostics and technologies make a difference in over 100 countries. We apply our research and development (R&D), manufacturing, and technical expertise to create new and better animal health advancements that address the challenges our customers face every day.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	12/31/2023	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

8544000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?	How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Zoetis includes all wholly owned entities in its reporting boundary.

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAH69

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978V1035

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978V103

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

ZTS

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

549300HD9Q1LOC9KLJ48

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

078579659

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

SEC CIK: 0001555280

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAK98

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAU70

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAH6

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAK9

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAU7

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAL7

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAN3

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAS2

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAV5

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAP8

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAM5

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAT0

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAL71

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAN38

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAS25

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAV53

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAP85

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAM54

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAT08

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☒ Peru

☒ Chile

☒ China

☒ Italy

☒ Japan

☒ Spain

- ☒ Egypt
- ☒ India
- ☒ France
- ☒ Greece
- ☒ Israel
- ☒ Mexico
- ☒ Norway
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Croatia
- ☒ Czechia
- ☒ Denmark
- ☒ Ecuador
- ☒ Romania
- ☒ Ukraine
- ☒ Uruguay
- ☒ Colombia
- ☒ Honduras
- ☒ Argentina
- ☒ Australia
- ☒ Guatemala
- ☒ Indonesia
- ☒ Singapore
- ☒ Saudi Arabia
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ Taiwan, China
- ☒ Republic of Korea
- ☒ Russian Federation

- ☒ Brazil
- ☒ Canada
- ☒ Panama
- ☒ Poland
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Turkey
- ☒ Austria
- ☒ Finland
- ☒ Germany
- ☒ Hungary
- ☒ Ireland
- ☒ Morocco
- ☒ Malaysia
- ☒ Pakistan
- ☒ Portugal
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Viet Nam
- ☒ Costa Rica
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ New Zealand
- ☒ Philippines
- ☒ Switzerland
- ☒ United States of America
- ☒ Iran (Islamic Republic of)
- ☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- ☒ All supplier tiers known have been mapped

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Zoetis has conducted an initial value chain mapping by category of upstream suppliers and downstream consumers. We are currently in the process of developing a more detailed value chain map.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

- ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

- ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

Although at this time Zoetis has not thoroughly mapped where in our direct operations or value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of, we have committed to include sustainability considerations in all new packaging designs to ensure that our innovative, industry-leading solutions are delivered sustainably for our customers, our communities and the planet. We're working to innovate packaging systems that not only maintain the safety and quality of our products and provide convenience for our customers, but also result in less waste and reduced emissions at every stage of the product pathway.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This aligns with short-term time horizons as defined under the SEC's climate rules and time horizons defined under our double materiality assessment process.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This aligns with medium-term time horizons defined under our double materiality assessment process.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This aligns with long-term time horizons defined under our double materiality assessment process.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

(2.2.1) Process in place

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.2.2) Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process

Select from:

☒ Impacts only

(2.2.4) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Zoetis completed a double materiality assessment in 2024 which includes environmental dependencies and/or impacts.

(2.2.5) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts and describe any plans to do so in the future

Zoetis completed a double materiality assessment in 2024 which includes environmental dependencies and/or impacts.
[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

(2.2.1.1) Process in place

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.2.1.2) Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process

Select from:

☒ Both risks and opportunities

(2.2.1.3) Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.2.1.6) Explain why you do not have a process for evaluating both risks and opportunities that is informed by a dependencies and/or impacts process

Zoetis completed a double materiality assessment in 2024 which includes environmental dependencies and/or impacts.
[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☒ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ Local
- ☒ Sub-national
- ☒ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management
- ☒ Internal company methods

International methodologies and standards

- ☒ Environmental Impact Assessment
- ☒ ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

- ☒ Desk-based research
- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Jurisdictional/landscape assessment
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Cold wave/frost
- ☒ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Heat waves

Chronic physical

- ☒ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Water stress

Policy

- ☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms
- ☒ Changes to national legislation

Reputation

- ☒ Impact on human health
- ☒ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- ☒ Other reputation, please specify :Inability to achieve sustainability goals.

Liability

- ☒ Exposure to litigation
- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NGOs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers | |

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Zoetis maintains and regularly updates a customized Risk Register intended to identify, categorize and define potential risk to Zoetis comprehensively. The Risk Register is assessed annually by the Zoetis Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Task Force (comprised of 20 key Zoetis enterprise leaders with diverse areas of expertise and functional responsibilities e.g., sustainability, finance, legal, manufacturing, operations, commercial, quality, regulatory, Environmental Health & Safety, Information Technology, Research & Development). Climate-related risks are identified and raised across the business on an ongoing basis, such as by the Board, Executive Team, ERM Task Force, Zoetis colleagues, external reports, and regulators. The ERM assessment has led to the identification of 'other significant' risks, including two physical ('Internal/External') risks (climate change, natural disasters/weather), one transition ('Legal & Compliance') risk (Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence and Disclosure Laws), and one reputational ('Social & Reputational') risk (Corporate Sustainability Reporting). As of December 31, 2023, Zoetis has identified six climate-related risks categories that will continue to be assessed, monitored, and reviewed under the Zoetis ERM processes. These risks are rated and assessed annually by the Zoetis ERM Task Force as part of a comprehensive annual review overseen by the ERM Program Office. Each risk is assigned an inherent risk rating based on an impact and likelihood score. A mitigation score is determined based on effectiveness of current risk management strategies and applied to the inherent risk rating to arrive at a residual risk rating (critical, high, medium, low). Risks rated as "critical" and "high" become identified as Zoetis' Most Significant Risks (MSRs), which translates to management "action required" or "continuous monitoring" respectively. Other risks may be included in a new ERM Watch List that captures evolving risks which are being actively monitored and evaluated for inclusion as an MSR. As part of Zoetis' ERM process, all identified MSRs must have additional mitigation plans that follow Zoetis' risk mitigation framework requirements. The Zoetis ERM process occurs more than once a year and considers short, medium-, and long-term risks that might impact the company. Mitigation plans for Zoetis's MSRs are updated annually by the Task Force and are subject to quarterly adjustments based on Executive Team and other feedback to the ERM Program Office. Zoetis has plans to adapt our ERM program to add focus on the potential impact of climate change on our business with support and direction from our CSO. Additional mitigation strategies for reducing Zoetis' risk of natural hazards/severe weather events are supported by FM, a third-party commercial property insurance provider, who has expertise in property risk mitigation. Since 2016, FM has been engaged to survey property insurance loss mitigation at all critical Zoetis sites including owned, leased and key third-party suppliers. This includes advice on implementation of site-specific mitigation measures to reduce property risk exposure for fire and natural hazards. FM tracks mitigation efforts at each site and recently developed a Global Site Climate Risk Report that measures and tracks Zoetis' exposure to climate risk, climate risk quality, and climate resilience at key Zoetis facilities. Zoetis receives this report annually.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ Local
- ☒ Sub-national
- ☒ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

International methodologies and standards

- ☒ Environmental Impact Assessment
- ☒ ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

- ☒ Desk-based research
- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis
- ☒ Other, please specify :**Intelligize**

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events

Liability

- ☒ Exposure to litigation
- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ NGOs
- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Zoetis maintains and regularly updates a customized Risk Register intended to identify, categorize and define potential risk to Zoetis comprehensively. The Risk Register is assessed annually by the Zoetis Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Task Force (comprised of 20 key Zoetis enterprise leaders with diverse areas of expertise and functional responsibilities e.g., sustainability, finance, legal, manufacturing, operations, commercial, quality, regulatory, Environmental Health & Safety, Information Technology, Research & Development). Climate-related risks are identified and raised across the business on an ongoing basis, such as by the Board, Executive Team, ERM Task Force, Zoetis colleagues, external reports, and regulators. The ERM assessment has led to the identification of 'other significant' risks, including two physical ('Internal/External') risks (climate change, natural disasters/weather), one transition ('Legal & Compliance') risk (Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence and Disclosure Laws), and one reputational ('Social & Reputational') risk (Corporate Sustainability Reporting). As of December 31, 2023, Zoetis has identified six climate-related risks categories that will continue to be assessed, monitored, and reviewed under the Zoetis ERM processes. These risks are rated and assessed annually by the Zoetis ERM Task Force as part of a comprehensive annual review overseen by the ERM Program Office. Each risk is assigned an inherent risk rating based on an impact and likelihood score. A mitigation score is determined based on effectiveness of current risk management strategies and applied to the inherent risk rating to arrive at a residual risk rating (critical, high, medium, low). Risks rated as "critical" and "high" become identified as Zoetis' Most Significant Risks (MSRs), which translates to management "action required" or "continuous monitoring" respectively. Other risks may be included in a new ERM Watch List that captures evolving risks which are being actively monitored and evaluated for inclusion as an MSR. As part of Zoetis' ERM process, all identified MSRs must have additional mitigation plans that follow Zoetis' risk mitigation framework requirements. The Zoetis ERM process occurs more than once a year and considers short, medium-, and long-term risks that might impact the company. Mitigation plans for Zoetis's MSRs are updated annually by the Task Force and are subject to quarterly adjustments based on Executive Team and other feedback to the ERM Program Office. Zoetis has plans to adapt our ERM program to add focus on the potential impact of climate change on our business with support and direction from our CSO. Additional mitigation strategies for reducing Zoetis' risk of natural hazards/severe

weather events are supported by FM, a third-party commercial property insurance provider, who has expertise in property risk mitigation. Since 2016, FM has been engaged to survey property insurance loss mitigation at all critical Zoetis sites including owned, leased and key third-party suppliers. This includes advice on implementation of site-specific mitigation measures to reduce property risk exposure for fire and natural hazards. FM tracks mitigation efforts at each site and recently developed a Global Site Climate Risk Report that measures and tracks Zoetis’ exposure to climate risk, climate risk quality, and climate resilience at key Zoetis facilities. Zoetis receives this report annually.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

	Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed	Primary reason for not assessing interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities	Explain why you do not assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Zoetis initiated a double materiality assessment process in 2023 that was completed in 2024, which included dependencies and impacts, and will assess interconnections in the near term.	<i>Zoetis intends to assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities in the near term.</i>

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are currently in the process of identifying priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

- ☒ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

- ☒ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Annually, Zoetis assesses our facilities for water stress using the WRI Aqueduct platform.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- ☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative
- ☒ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Various qualitative assessments and KPIs are used to define the substantive effect.

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Frequency of effect occurring
- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Zoetis maintains and regularly updates a customized Risk Register intended to identify, categorize and define potential risk to Zoetis comprehensively. The Risk Register is assessed annually by the Zoetis Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Task Force (comprised of 20 key Zoetis enterprise leaders with diverse areas of expertise and functional responsibilities e.g., sustainability, finance, legal, manufacturing, operations, commercial, quality, regulatory, Environmental Health & Safety, Information Technology, Research & Development). Climate-related risks are identified and raised across the business on an ongoing basis, such as by the Board, Executive Team, ERM Task Force, Zoetis colleagues, external reports, and regulators. Each risk is assigned an inherent risk rating based on an impact score and likelihood score. A mitigation score is determined based on effectiveness of current risk management strategies and applied to the inherent risk rating to finally arrive at a residual risk rating (critical, high, medium, low). Risks rated as “critical” and “high” become identified as Zoetis’ Most Significant Risks (MSRs), which translates to management “action required” or “continuous monitoring” respectively. Other risks may be included in a new ERM Watch List that captures evolving risks which are being actively monitored and evaluated for inclusion as an MSR.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE) program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary. These PiE efforts for manufacturing have been incorporated as

standard for the development of all new Zoetis products. Additionally, APIs and veterinary medicines are reviewed for potential hazards and risks by medicines Authorities/Agencies.

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Other synthetic organic compounds

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Some of our products contain active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which are the primary ingredients in our veterinary medicines. Zoetis is committed to minimizing the environmental impact of our products and this includes actively working to mitigate the presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE). The primary source of a veterinary pharmaceutical entering the environment from our products occurs after elimination from the treated animal. On a more local scale, however, pharmaceuticals can also enter the environment at the end of the manufacturing process. APIs and veterinary medicines are reviewed for potential hazards and risks by medicines Authorities/Agencies. In addition, some of our veterinary products may also contain excipients or other additives. The potential hazards and risks of incorporating these substances into veterinary medicines are also reviewed by medicines Authorities/Agencies.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Downstream value chain

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

☒ Upgrading of process equipment/methods

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary. These PiE efforts for manufacturing have been incorporated as standard for the development of all new Zoetis products.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Not yet evaluated

(3.1.3) Please explain

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We aim to better understand where water scarcity or issues related to clean water access may present significant business challenges in both our direct operations and supply chain. With this information, we will be better equipped to make important decisions that could have significant environmental, social and financial implications.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☒ Other chronic physical risk, please specify :Water scarcity

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ Chile

☒ China

☒ Italy

☒ Japan

☒ Spain

☒ Brazil

☒ Canada

☒ France

☒ Mexico

☒ Germany

- ☒ Australia
- ☒ United States of America
- ☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

We operate in many regions, countries and communities around the world where our business, and our activities and the activities of our customers and suppliers could be disrupted by climate change. Water scarcity implies potential water supply interruptions and low water quality. A reduction in water supply would impact our producing capabilities as our manufacturing requires ample water. In 2023, approximately 74% of our water withdrawals are within areas of low- to medium-water stress. As part of an effort to identify impactful projects in areas of high-water stress, we commenced a project at our Catania site in Italy that will enable us to recycle additional water annually. These conservation measures are important as we continue to grow our business. We are continuing to explore opportunities across our manufacturing network to increase water efficiency.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☒ Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Zoetis is committed to reducing the vulnerability of our sites to climate-related impacts. To assure continuity of critical business processes and to safeguard our colleagues, assets and business reputation, Zoetis maintains a Business Continuity Management (BCM) program. Our BCM methodology provides a risk-based approach to the development of Business Continuity Plans (BCP) that identify potential vulnerabilities, and document action plans to mitigate the risk. The BCP initiative includes a training program and ongoing technical support by our Global Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) team, and extends across manufacturing and R&D sites, commercial markets, supply chain and critical external suppliers. These actions include having written documented plans of action for physical impacts to our site that could be increased in frequency due to climate change, such as long-term regional blackouts. The plans document how each site should proceed in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact to our company's direct operations, value chain, and customers. The program's intent is to prepare our sites for natural hazards in order to reduce the amount of time a business function is offline due to emergency events.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☒ Other chronic physical risk, please specify :Water scarcity

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ Italy

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Mediterranean Sea Islands Basin

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

We operate in many regions, countries and communities around the world where our business, and our activities and the activities of our customers and suppliers could be disrupted by climate change. Water scarcity implies potential water supply interruptions and low water quality. A reduction in water supply would impact our producing capabilities as our manufacturing requires ample water. In 2023, approximately 74% of our water withdrawals are within areas of low- to medium-water stress. As part of an effort to identify impactful projects in areas of high-water stress, we commenced a project at our Catania site in Italy that will enable us to recycle additional water annually. These conservation measures are important as we continue to grow our business. We are continuing to explore opportunities across our manufacturing network to increase water efficiency.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☒ Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Zoetis is committed to reducing the vulnerability of our sites to climate-related impacts. To assure continuity of critical business processes and to safeguard our colleagues, assets and business reputation, Zoetis maintains a Business Continuity Management (BCM) program. Our BCM methodology provides a risk-based approach to the development of Business Continuity Plans (BCP) that identify potential vulnerabilities, and document action plans to mitigate the risk. The BCP initiative includes a training program and ongoing technical support by our Global Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) team, and extends across manufacturing and R&D sites, commercial markets, supply chain and critical external suppliers. These actions include having written documented plans of action for physical impacts to our site that could be increased in frequency due to climate change, such as long-term regional blackouts. The plans document how each site should proceed in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact to our company's direct operations, value chain, and customers. The program's intent is to prepare our sites for natural hazards in order to reduce the amount of time a business function is offline due to emergency events.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased severity of extreme weather events: A) may result in increasing prevalence of parasites and diseases that affect food animals and livestock, B) May impact the availability of land suitable for raising livestock. Increases in air and water temperatures may have the potential to impact our customers' operations and businesses. If such events occur, our customers may purchase fewer Zoetis products, negatively impacting our revenues. A One Health approach recognizes that the health of animals, humans and the environment are interdependent. According to the WHO, at least 75% of emerging infectious diseases have an animal origin. As part of our commitment to a healthier, more sustainable future, our scientists are using their expertise to combat diseases that pose the greatest risk to animals and humans.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Medium-term
☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

- ☒ Other policies or plans, please specify :Currently evaluating

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

A mitigation response is not yet in place, but Zoetis will consider this in future years. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☒ Other acute physical risk, please specify :Increased frequency of natural disasters

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased frequency of adverse weather events and natural disasters may interfere with and negatively impact operations at our manufacturing sites, research and development facilities and office buildings, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, especially if such interruptions to regular operations are frequent or prolonged.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☒ Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

A) Our Business Continuity Management (BCM) addresses interruptions of supply or production due to exceptional weather events. These plans are determined and adapted by each individual Zoetis site. Plans are routinely updated and tested using tabletop exercises to validate recovery capability and identify potential process improvements. If a potential severe weather risk threatens a facility, Zoetis works to implement these plans in collaboration with our insurance providers. B) Natural hazard exposures have been added to our due diligence questionnaires that are required for Zoetis to consider for a potential acquisition. Natural hazard reviews are also conducted for select key suppliers, third-party distribution centers and contract manufacturers. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ Chile
- ☒ China
- ☒ Italy
- ☒ Japan
- ☒ Spain
- ☒ Australia
- ☒ United States of America
- ☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- ☒ Brazil
- ☒ Canada
- ☒ France
- ☒ Mexico
- ☒ Germany

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Emerging international, national, regional, and local regulations in response to climate changes have the potential to impact areas where we have operations or may establish future operations. Zoetis is investigating strategies to quantify the possible impact of carbon regulation and taxes and how new regulations might affect our budgets and operations in certain geographical areas.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Emerging regulations could take several forms and could result in additional costs in the form of investments of capital to maintain compliance with laws and regulations and taxes. Climate change continues to evolve, and it is not possible to accurately estimate either a timetable for implementation or our future compliance costs related to implementation.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☒ Participation in environmental collaborative industry frameworks, initiatives and/or commitments

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Mitigation strategies include, but are not limited to: 1) Internal tracking and reporting of current and proposed laws, governing through appropriate committees, adherence to credible ESG frameworks, and leveraging technology systems to capture and disseminate relevant info. 2) Adherence to policy standards and procedures, governing through appropriate committees, assessments of regulatory landscape, colleague training, implementing, and leveraging technology systems to best manage processes, and engaging in appropriate lobbying efforts. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.
[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Santa Ynez River Valley Groundwater Basin

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

Buellton

Row 2

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :San Diego River Basin

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

San Diego

Row 3

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Neuse River Basin

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

Durham

Row 4

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

☒ Other, please specify :Scheldt Basin

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Louvain La Neuve

Row 5

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Italy

☒ Other, please specify :Mediterranean Sea Islands Basin

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Catania

Row 6

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Other, please specify :China coast basin

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Row 7

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Australia

☒ Other, please specify :Australia East Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Melbourne, Rutherford

Row 8

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :United States North Atlantic Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Salisbury MFA

Row 9

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ St. Lawrence

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Chicago Heights

Row 10

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Mississippi-Missouri

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

VMRD Fort Collins

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply	In 2023, our Catania site had a water-related fine of 13,466.

	Water-related regulatory violations	Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties	Comment
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fines, but none that are considered as significant	

[Fixed row]

(3.3.1) Provide the total number and financial value of all water-related fines.

(3.3.1.1) Total number of fines

1

(3.3.1.2) Total value of fines

13466

(3.3.1.3) % of total facilities/operations associated

1

(3.3.1.4) Number of fines compared to previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(3.3.1.5) Comment

In 2023, our Catania site had a water-related fine of 13,466.

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

☒ Ireland carbon tax

☒ Other ETS, please specify :Ireland ETS

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.

Other ETS, please specify

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

4.6

(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

(3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2023

(3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2023

(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

0

(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

0

(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO₂e

809.4

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO₂e

0

(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.10) Comment

Ireland ETS

[Fixed row]

(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.

Ireland carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2023

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2023

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

239817

(3.5.3.5) Comment

Zoetis Rathdrum, Tallaght, and Tullamore facility
[Fixed row]

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

In Ireland, the utility companies directly applies the government imposed carbon tax to the utility bill. Therefore, Zoetis does not have a specific strategy for compliance since the tax is directly incorporated into our existing operational bills.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**Climate change****(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water**(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities but are unable to realize them

(3.6.3) Please explain

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We are currently evaluating water-related opportunities with the intention of understanding, feasibility and cost of implementation within the next two years.
[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☒ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ Chile

☒ China

☒ Italy

☒ Japan

☒ Spain

☒ Brazil

☒ Canada

☒ France

☒ Mexico

☒ Germany

- ☒ Australia
- ☒ United States of America
- ☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Concerns regarding GHG emissions and other potential environmental impacts of livestock production have led to some consumers changing preferences to products raised with environmentally friendly production practices. Zoetis has identified a market opportunity to provide our customers with products and services that could help them lower the environmental and carbon impact on their livestock. Zoetis is committed to minimizing the environmental impact of our products and this includes actively working to mitigate the presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE). The primary source of a veterinary pharmaceutical entering the environment from our products occurs after elimination from the treated animal. On a more local scale, however, pharmaceuticals can also enter the environment at the end of the manufacturing process. We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ About as likely as not (33–66%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Anticipated increased revenues could improve market position and result in an increase in cash flow.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Zoetis has implemented processes to evaluate the carbon impact of our products and have engaged with our stakeholders to explore markets for environmentally preferable products. For example, our Sustainable Product Pathway initiative will inform sustainable product and packaging design for all new Zoetis products and we've identified key decision-making stages early in the research and development process where primary, secondary, and tertiary packaging design and materials can be explicitly evaluated. Integration of these considerations early in the process allows sustainable packaging design and testing alignment with data acquisition, capital planning and launch timings to ensure a smooth outcome. To help identify key areas for improvement, we will use a life cycle approach in broad alignment with life cycle assessment principles outlined by ISO 14040:14044: Environmental Management - Life Cycle Assessment. Zoetis is also investing in resources and research and development in programs to work with customers to reduce their emissions and environmental impact. Some examples include: -Using genetics, data and digital technology to improve individual care of animals. -Innovations including immune therapies that could yield alternatives to antibiotics or understanding pathways that could help reduce methane production. -Improved access to veterinary care, products and training in developing countries, where intensity of GHG emissions from animals are greatest. As part of our product development process, Zoetis considers the risk our products could present to the environment. If required, we take steps to mitigate risks identified during this process to comply with legislative requirements in the country where the product is sold. In 2022, Zoetis established a Research and Development Sustainability Hub, and the team is dedicated to driving initiatives such as evaluating green chemistry alternatives and formulation innovation in order to reduce the impact of our products on the environment. We are also committed to investing in new products to predict, prevent and detect disease early, reducing the need to use products such as antibiotics to ensure animal health and welfare. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.

Water

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

- ☒ Reduced water usage and consumption

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ Italy

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other, please specify :Catania

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ About as likely as not (33–66%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Reduced costs could lead to increase in cash flow and improvement in financial position.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Continue to monitor water usage and consumption.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

Diverse board with female and racial/ethnic representation. Board considers diversity of skills, experience, race, ethnicity, gender, cultural background and thought among directors when evaluating director nominees. The Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee considers, and asks search firms to include in candidate lists, diverse director candidates who meet applicable search criteria.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

corporate-governance-principles-2023.pdf

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for board-level oversight but we do anticipate addressing this issue within the next two years in conjunction with refreshing our materiality assessment.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board Terms of Reference
- ☒ Board mandate
- ☒ Individual role descriptions
- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Accountability is outlined in our Policy on Sustainability, which is publicly available here: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/policy-on-sustainability.pdf

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Board exercises ultimate oversight over Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy, provides guidance regarding sustainability goals and monitors the Company's sustainability progress on an ongoing basis. In early 2022, we changed the name of the Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors to the Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee (CGSC) to reflect its primary responsibility for overseeing strategies, initiatives, activities and disclosures regarding ESG and sustainability, citizenship, and public affairs matters. The Committee also coordinates the ESG activities of our other Board committees and reports regularly to the full Board on the progress of the company's sustainability initiatives. The Chief Sustainability Officer provides updates to the full Board at least twice a year and to the Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee at each Committee meeting. Each committee of the Board of Directors also contributes to the oversight of select areas of Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy and helps inform the Board's ultimate oversight. The Quality and Innovation (Q&I) Committee of the Board oversees climate-related targets and programmatic progress specifically. Both CGSC and the Q&I Committee receive regular progress updates on Zoetis' carbon neutral roadmap and progress on all climate-related targets at each Committee meeting with focused progress discussions at least annually. Zoetis' Board exercises ultimate oversight and responsibility over Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy. Each Committee of the Board contributes to the oversight of Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy and helps inform the Board's ultimate oversight. The Board provides guidance regarding Zoetis' goals and targets and monitors our climate initiatives, including our RE100 commitment, carbon neutrality initiatives, and our overarching Driven to Care sustainability strategy. In 2022, Zoetis announced expanded climate commitments, including a goal to achieve carbon neutrality in our operations by 2030.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board Terms of Reference
- ☒ Board mandate
- ☒ Individual role descriptions

☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Policy on Sustainability, which is publicly available here: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/policy-on-sustainability.pdf

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Monitoring as important matters arise

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

In early 2022, we changed the name of the Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors to the Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee (CGSC) to reflect its primary responsibility for overseeing strategies, initiatives, activities and disclosures regarding ESG and sustainability, citizenship, and public affairs matters. The Committee also coordinates the ESG activities of our other Board committees and reports regularly to the full Board on the progress of the company's sustainability initiatives. The Chief Sustainability Officer provides updates to the full Board at least twice a year and to the Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee at each Committee meeting. Each committee of the Board of Directors also contributes to the oversight of select areas of Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy and helps inform the Board's ultimate oversight. The Quality and Innovation (Q&I) Committee of the Board oversees natural resources, including water, as well as planet pillar targets and programmatic progress specifically. Both CGSC and the Q&I Committee receive regular progress updates on Zoetis' sustainability progress on all planet pillar targets at each Committee meeting with focused progress discussions at least annually.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- ☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.2.4) Primary reason for no board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.2.5) Explain why your organization does not have a board with competence on this environmental issue

Zoetis considers natural resources to be an important part of our broader ESG governance strategy. As such, we consider board competency on water-related issues to be important and will continue to assess the priority level.

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

Water

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for management-level responsibility but we do anticipate addressing this issue within the next two years.
[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President (EVP), Corporate Affairs, Communications and CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and leads our overall climate strategy, including resourcing and governing efforts to ensure climate strategy delivery and communicating Zoetis' climate ambition and progress. The CSO provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP, President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage climate-related risks and responsibilities as part of overseeing the company's global network of approximately 29 manufacturing sites and 200 third-party manufacturers. The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and

agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP, President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage sustainability-related risks and responsibilities as part of overseeing the company's global network of approximately 29 manufacturing sites and 200 third-party manufacturers. The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the sustainability strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Executive Vice President and President Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and leads our overall climate strategy, including resourcing and governing efforts to ensure climate strategy delivery and communicating Zoetis' climate ambition and progress. The CSO provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP, President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage climate-related risks and responsibilities as part of overseeing the company's global network of approximately 29 manufacturing sites and 200 third-party manufacturers. The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- ☒ Other, please specify :Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Reports to Executive Vice President and President, Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability &

EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Executive Vice President and President, Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance and Sustainability Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP, President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage sustainability-related risks and responsibilities as part of overseeing the company's global network of approximately 29 manufacturing sites and 200 third-party manufacturers. The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the sustainability strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☒ Other, please specify :Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Reports to Executive Vice President and President, Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the sustainability strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- ☒ Other, please specify :Global Head of Corporate Sustainability and ESG Reporting (Head of Sustainability)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the climate strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- ☒ Other, please specify :Global Head of Corporate Responsibility and ESG Reporting (Head of Sustainability)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the climate strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

Annual Incentive Plan payouts to Zoetis Executive Team (ZET) members reflect our strategic/operational goals, which are incorporated in both ZET shared objectives and individual objectives, and are established at the beginning of the year. Goals are organized under our six strategic pillars: (1) lead through innovation; (2) deliver an exceptional experience to delight our customers; (3) power our business through digital solutions and data insights; (4) support a workplace where colleagues can thrive; (5) advance sustainability for a healthier future; and (6) perform with excellence and agility. Among these non-financial goals are goals that fall within the ESG framework, such as sustain industry leadership and performance in sustainability and ESG disclosures and continue to make progress towards our Driven to Care aspirations. however, Zoetis does not have specific bonus or compensation for the management of environmental issues or the attainment of targets.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

Incentives for Zoetis' Executive team are based on multiple business objectives. Objectives cover resources efficiency efforts which include water, although there are no specific water-related targets at this time.

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Shares

☒ Profit share

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Progress towards environmental targets

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Annual Incentive Plan payouts to Zoetis Executive Team (ZET) members reflect our strategic/operational goals, which are incorporated in both ZET shared objectives and individual objectives, and are established at the beginning of the year. Goals are organized under the Company's six strategic pillars: (1) lead through innovation; (2) deliver an exceptional experience to delight our customers; (3) power our business through digital solutions and data insights; (4) support a workplace where colleagues can thrive; (5) advance sustainability for a healthier future; and (6) perform with excellence and agility. Among these non-financial goals are goals that fall

within the framework, such as sustain industry leadership and performance in sustainability and ESG disclosures and continue to make progress towards our Driven to Care aspirations.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

To drive accountability, compensation for members of our Executive Team is based on multiple shared and individual business objectives, including the management of sustainability initiatives and the company's strategic priority to advance sustainability in animal health for a better future; however, Zoetis does not have specific monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Shares

☒ Profit share

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Other strategy and financial planning-related metrics, please specify :Shared and Individual business objectives

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Annual Incentive Plan payouts to Zoetis Executive Team (ZET) members reflect our strategic/operational goals, which are incorporated in both ZET shared objectives and individual objectives, and are established at the beginning of the year. Goals are organized under the Company's six strategic pillars: (1) lead through innovation; (2) deliver an exceptional experience to delight our customers; (3) power our business through digital solutions and data insights; (4) support a workplace where colleagues can thrive; (5) advance sustainability for a healthier future; and (6) perform with excellence and agility. Among these non-financial goals are goals that fall within the Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) framework, such as sustain industry leadership and performance in sustainability and ESG disclosures and continue to make progress towards our Driven to Care aspirations.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

To drive accountability, compensation for members of our Executive Team is based on multiple shared and individual business objectives, including the management of sustainability initiatives and the company's strategic priority to advance sustainability in animal health for a better future; however, Zoetis does not have specific monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Zoetis is committed to the health of animals and supporting the people who care for them. As we grow our business in a way that is considerate of our global community, we are committed to protecting our planet by using the world's resources wisely, developing innovative products that advance animal care and help nurture the world in a sustainable way, and supporting our colleagues and the communities where we live and work.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Climate-specific commitments

☒ Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Improving sustainability by reducing water intake, reducing waste, and improving energy efficiency.

Social commitments

☒ Other social commitment, please specify :We have social commitments in our Global Human Rights Policy.

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☒ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

policy-on-sustainability.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Zoetis is committed to the health of animals and supporting the people who care for them. As we grow our business in a way that is considerate of our global community, we are committed to protecting our planet by using the world's resources wisely, developing innovative products that advance animal care and help nurture the world in a sustainable way, and supporting our colleagues and the communities where we live and work.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Water-specific commitments

☒ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

Social commitments

☒ Other social commitment, please specify :We have social commitments in our Global Human Rights Policy.

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☒ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

policy-on-sustainability.pdf

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☒ RE100

☒ Other, please specify :ACS GCI Pharmaceutical Roundtable, Sustainable Packaging Coalition (SPC), Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI)

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Zoetis is a member of RE100 and is committed to using 100% renewable energy in its operations. Zoetis is a member of the American Chemistry Society Green Chemistry Institute Pharmaceutical Roundtable an organization dedicated to catalyzing the integration of green chemistry and engineering in the pharmaceutical industry. Member companies come together to catalyze innovative approaches to improving process efficiency and product quality through green chemistry and engineering. By working together, the Roundtable provides leadership and influence throughout the industry and supply chain. Zoetis is a member of the Sustainable Packaging Coalition (SPC) an organization dedicated to creating packaging that is good for people and good for the environment. SPC's mission is creating sustainable packaging that is beneficial, safe, and healthy for individuals and communities throughout its life cycle; meets market criteria for both performance and cost; is sourced, manufactured, transported, and recycled using renewable energy; optimizes the use of renewable or recycled source materials; is manufactured using clean production technologies and best practices; is made from materials that are healthy throughout the life cycle; is physically designed to optimize materials and energy; and is effectively recovered and utilized in biological and/or industrial closed loop cycles. Zoetis is a member of the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI), a group of pharmaceutical and healthcare companies, who share a vision of better social, health, safety and environmental outcomes in the communities where we buy. PSCI believes that, collectively, members can share knowledge and expertise, across the industry, to drive complex, global change more effectively than any one organization alone. The group has joined forces to promote responsible supply chain management and better business conditions across the industry. As a member, Zoetis has access to knowledge and expertise, tapping into a network of professionals; helps build supplier capability to implement the PSCI Principles for Responsible Supply Chain Management (these principles address five areas of responsible business practice: ethics, labor, health & safety, environment, and management systems); contributes to setting the community agenda; and is a recognized supporter and advocate of responsible supply chains.
 [Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

- ☒ Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers
- ☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- ☒ No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☒ Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

European Union Transparency Register (ID: 620014252733-40) Ireland Lobbying Register (ID: n/a) Germany Lobbying Register (ID: R004979) US Lobbying Disclosure Act (US Senate: 401043937-12; US House: 422560000)

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Our Global Public Affairs team sits within our Corporate Affairs, Communications & Sustainability function and reports into our Executive Vice President, Corporate Affairs, Communications and Chief Sustainability Officer. The relevant members of these teams collaborate to ensure engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence our commitments are aligned with our sustainability strategy.

[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

US Farm Bill

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental impacts and pressures

☒ Emissions – methane

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ National

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ Support with no exceptions

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Regular meetings

☒ Ad-hoc meetings

☒ Discussion in public forums

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

Climate smart ag practices, that assist growers and ranchers in their continued efforts to mitigate climate-related risks.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

Row 2

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

EU Green Deal

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

☒ Low-carbon, non-renewable energy generation

☒ Renewable energy generation

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ Regional

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☒ Europe

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Engage with policy makers to advocate for Zoetis' sustainability positions and commercial interests within the context of the legislation/regulation.

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

The EU Green Deal and some of its underlying precepts which may ultimately inform environmental and sustainability policies in multiple sectors, are under review by a new government after an EU election.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

[Add row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☒ Other global trade association, please specify :HealthForAnimals

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

HealthforAnimals is the voice of the animal health industry and an advocate for the fundamental role of healthy animals in improving global wellbeing, sustainability and prosperity. HealthforAnimals represents developers and manufacturers of animal health products, including vaccines, diagnostics, parasiticides, antibiotics, digital technologies, and other tools that the health and well-being of animals. We believe health for animals improves health for all. It's why HealthforAnimals provides a common platform for our Membership to collaborate and support actions that help protect the health and well-being of animals.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

268400

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Our aim as a member of HealthforAnimals is to advocate collectively with other members for the fundamental role of healthy animals in improving global wellbeing, sustainability and prosperity. Specifically: a) promoting the scientific study and development of products promoting animal health on a worldwide basis; b) establishing and improving official ties between the animal health industry and international bodies dealing with animal health issues; c) developing and encouraging the adoption of common policies to be taken up by the animal health industry in its relations with international governmental and non-governmental bodies; d) co-operating with such international bodies by providing expert advice; e) providing members with information concerning the actions of these international bodies in order to encourage an exchange of information and experience; f) working together with international bodies, encouraging the uniform adoption of objective scientifically-based criteria when deciding on common standards for the study of animal health products; g) promoting the protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights in the interests of scientific and technological progress.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☒ Other global trade association, please specify :Animal Health Institute

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The Animal Health Institute (AHI) represents the companies that develop and produce animal medicines. Our industry is a global leader whose products improve the health of nearly 10 billion companion and food-producing animals in the U.S., which results in significant economic and social benefits for Americans

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

786329

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Our funding supports the animal health industry.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

Row 3

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☒ Other trade association in Europe, please specify :Animal Health Europe

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

AnimalHealthEurope's mission is to be the voice of the European animal medicines industry. Their aim is to highlight the importance of healthy pets and farm animals and to demonstrate the reliability and value of their solutions to society. They focus on ensuring a ready availability of animal health products throughout Europe and help optimize health management while contributing to the sustainability of food production and keeping the companion animals that share our homes free from disease.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

249850

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Our funding supports the animal health industry.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

Row 4

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☒ Other trade association in Europe, please specify :The European Partnership for Alternatives to Animal Testing

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The European Partnership for Alternative Approaches to Animal Testing (EPAA) aims to replace animal testing by innovative, non-animal testing methods/New Approach Methodologies (NAMs), to reduce the number of animals used and to refine procedures where no alternatives exist, or are not sufficient to ensure the safety of substances (the '3R principle'). The partners are pooling knowledge and resources to accelerate the development, validation and acceptance of alternative approaches at national, European and global levels.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

21617

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Our funding supports the animal health industry.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

Row 5

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☒ Other trade association in Europe, please specify :The European Animal Research Association

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The European Animal Research Association (EARA) is a communications and advocacy organization, representing both public and private institutions in the biomedical sector. Its mission is to inform people about the continued need for, and benefits of, the humane use of animals in scientific research, by providing accurate and evidence-based information.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

21617

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Our funding supports the animal health industry.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

Row 6

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☒ Other trade association in North America, please specify :Business Roundtable

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Business Roundtable is an association of more than 200 chief executive officers (CEOs) of America's leading companies, representing every sector of the U.S. economy. Business Roundtable supports policies that build on America's strengths to provide reliable energy supplies while addressing climate change and maintaining a healthy environment. Business Roundtable CEOs are embracing environmentally sustainable practices across their businesses including investments in green buildings and "green bonds", reducing greenhouse gas emissions and pollutants, diversifying into natural gas and renewable sources of energy and recycling at greater rates.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

300000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In other regulatory filings

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Governance

☒ Risks & Opportunities

☒ Strategy

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Pg. 6

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Zoetis Annual Report 10K 2023.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Zoetis 10k sent to shareholders

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

☒ TCFD

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Governance

☒ Risks & Opportunities

☒ Strategy

☒ Emissions figures

☒ Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

zoetis-2023-sustainability-report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Zoetis 2023 Sustainability Report

Row 3

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Strategy

☒ Governance

☒ Emission targets

☒ Emissions figures

☒ Risks & Opportunities

☒ Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Pg. 28-32, 39

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Zoetis 2023 Sustainability Report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Zoetis 2023 Sustainability Report
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We are currently in the process evaluating the development of climate-related scenario analysis to help inform our business strategy in the near future.

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We are evaluating the use of scenario analysis for water to inform our business strategy.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☒ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Climate change is a significant global issue with wide-ranging impacts. At Zoetis, we have committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions in our own operations and managing our climate risks because it matters to the communities we serve, our colleagues, our customers, the health of animals and those who care for them around the world. In 2022, we set a goal to be carbon neutral in our own operations by 2030, with a focus on our Scope 1 and 2 emissions from our manufacturing and R&D operations, office locations and fleet vehicles. We also accelerated our commitment to source 100% renewable energy in our operations by 20 years—with a goal of achieving our RE100 commitment by 2030. To achieve carbon neutrality, we are focused on reducing emissions by: 1) driving energy efficiency at our sites, 2) transitioning our fleet to more fuel-efficient, hybrid and electric vehicles and utilizing biofuels where available, and 3) powering our operations with clean renewable electricity. We are proud of our achievements to date. In the very first year of setting these commitments, we have demonstrated clear progress in developing and implementing our roadmap to carbon neutrality. Within the next few years, while our business continues to grow, we expect to achieve reductions in our emissions as we realize gains from current emissions reduction programs and investments.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☒ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- ☒ Products and services
- ☒ Upstream/downstream value chain
- ☒ Investment in R&D
- ☒ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate-related impacts such as increased frequency of natural disasters and adverse weather occurrences, changes in air and water temperatures, changes in water supply may have the potential to impact our customers' operations and businesses. If such events occur, our customers may purchase fewer Zoetis products which inherently would negatively impact our revenues. Zoetis is investing in resources and research and development in programs to work with customers to reduce their emissions and environmental impact. Some examples include using genetics, data and digital technology to improve individual care of animals; innovations including immune therapies that could yield alternatives to antibiotics or understanding pathways that could help reduce methane production; and improved access to veterinary care, products and training in developing countries, where intensity of GHG emissions from animals are greatest. Zoetis is committed to minimizing the environmental impact of our products and this includes actively working to mitigate the presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE). As part of our product development process, Zoetis considers the risk our products could present to the environment. If required, we take steps to mitigate risks identified during this process to comply with legislative requirements in the country where the product is sold. In 2022, Zoetis established a Research and Development Sustainability Hub,

and the team is dedicated to driving initiatives such as evaluating green chemistry alternatives and formulation innovation in order to reduce the impact of our products on the environment.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

As a global company, Zoetis procures goods and services from all over the world. We align our procurement and supplier management processes to ambitious ethical, social and environmental related principles. We aim to work only with suppliers whose values are consistent with our own and support them in building their own sustainability capabilities. Throughout the life of our relationship with each supplier, we seek to ensure that their conduct matches the expectations in our Supplier Conduct Principles and Supplier Conduct Position Statement. In the area of environmental sustainability, the principles for our suppliers are consistent with Zoetis' corporate commitment to environmental responsibility by implementing systems to assure the safe handling and management of waste, wastewater and air emissions. In support of international regulations regarding conflict minerals, Zoetis surveys its supply base annually for information regarding the origin of certain raw materials, and takes corrective actions as necessary, so we can play our part in preventing the perpetuation of violence and human rights abuse in conflict zones. To assure continuity of critical business processes and to safeguard our colleagues', assets and business reputation, Zoetis maintains a Business Continuity Management (BCM) program. Our BCM methodology provides a risk-based approach to the development of Business Continuity Plans (BCP) that identify potential vulnerabilities, and document action plans to mitigate the risk. The BCP initiative includes a training program and ongoing technical support by our Global EHS team, and extends across manufacturing and R&D sites, commercial markets, supply chain and critical external suppliers. To evaluate the resiliency and risk profile of a site or market, a cross-functional team utilizes risk assessment templates, along with a risk rating matrix to complete business interdependency mapping, operational and product risk assessments, and business impact analyses. In addition, a BCP score card is used to track the status of each individual location's BCP and indicates areas for improvement. Risk ratings are also applied to external suppliers to evaluate their risk profile. Plans are routinely updated and tested by conducting a tabletop exercise to validate recovery capability and identify potential process improvements. Disaster recovery plans for IT systems and applications are also a key output and have been successfully implemented across all critical Zoetis locations.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Increasingly, our stakeholders recognize genetic improvement provides an opportunity to improve herd productivity, health and sustainability outcomes. Our field force uses data and information to derive meaningful insights that help livestock owners, and their consultants, make better decisions. Our R&D efforts in this area are focused on creating integrated management solutions and predictive health and productivity analytics to address the complex challenges associated with improvements in animal health and productivity that we also anticipate will positively impact emissions. We approach this challenge from several angles, including exploring new products that sustainably optimize feed efficiency and productivity, new technologies that mitigate methane emissions and other environmental impacts, and genetic tools that enable the selection of healthy and efficient animals with a reduced carbon footprint. Through these innovative technology solutions, we see opportunities to provide data-derived insights and related decision-making tools to help livestock farmers and ranchers achieve their sustainability goals by managing inputs, optimizing outcomes, reducing methane emissions and continuing to enhance their environmental stewardship. For example, in December 2022, a collaboration between Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Leachman Cattle of Colorado, LLC., B.R. Cutrer, Inc. and Zoetis was one of 71 projects selected as a grant recipient by the USDA's Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities to reduce methane production in the U.S. beef herd. Leveraging the expertise of our Precision Animal Health (PAH) team, the program provides mentorship, genetic technologies, and support to underserved producers and first-generation beef cattle ranching start-ups—ultimately helping them genetically select cattle for reduced feed consumption and lower methane impact. To date, we have collaborated to install animal feed intake, methane emission and weighing equipment at multiple locations with data collection beginning in 2024.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Our global environmental, health and safety (EHS) management principles ensure that all Zoetis sites achieve and maintain consistently high levels of EHS performance. Our principles are set globally and implemented locally across functions. Each of our manufacturing, R&D and logistics sites are required to implement the Zoetis EHS management system, as defined by our EHS Policy Standard, and to continue to advance it over time. Our sites complete periodic self-audits, checklists and inspections, and review applicable environmental requirements at least annually to ensure compliance with environmental laws and regulations. To ensure adherence to our EHS management system, we also conduct corporate audits of our operating sites. In addition, Zoetis has multiple cross-functional governance committees that help ensure we adhere to environmental requirements and emerging regulations. These include our Enterprise Risk Management Committee, Pharmaceuticals in the Environment (PiE) Committee, Evolving Regulations Committee, Chemical Regulatory Monitoring Committee and Biotherapeutic Community of Practice Committee, among others. Key aspects of our EHS management system include compliance management, environmental aspects and impact analysis, objective setting, competency and training, employee engagement and communications, management of change, monitoring, emergency preparedness, and self-audit. Two of our sites are certified to ISO 14001 standards: Catania, Italy and Suzhou, China. Additionally, we focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary. These PiE efforts for manufacturing have been incorporated as standard for the development of all new Zoetis products.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We aim to better understand where water scarcity or issues related to clean water access may present significant business challenges in both our direct operations and supply chain. With this information, we will be better equipped to make important decisions that could have significant environmental, social and financial implications.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☒ Direct costs

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

In 2022, we set a goal to be carbon neutral in our own operations and accelerated our RE100 commitment to source 100% renewable electricity in our operations, both by 2030. To achieve carbon neutrality, we are focused on reducing emissions by driving energy efficiency at our sites, transitioning our fleet to more fuel-

efficient, hybrid and electric vehicles, and utilizing biofuels where available, and powering our operations with clean, renewable electricity. In addition to our overall program enhancements, we successfully completed 38 projects across our manufacturing and R&D sites during 2023. These investments are expected to result in a reduction of approximately 88,000 gigajoules (GJ) of energy use annually. We also developed a five-year capital plan for our ten highest emitting sites, which includes optimizing energy management by enhancing and modernizing utilities and our manufacturing equipment, as well as investing in energy-efficient designs for new sites. In 2023, ten of our manufacturing sites operated with 100% renewable electricity, including four commercial operations in Norway and our offices in Zaventem, Belgium and Dublin, Ireland—our largest office outside the United States. In early 2024, we also entered into a 12-year virtual power purchase agreement (VPPA) with Scout Clean Energy for power from their Heart of Texas wind farm. We’re working to innovate packaging systems that not only maintain the safety and quality of our products and provide convenience for our customers, but also result in less waste and reduced emissions at every stage of the product pathway. With the support of our leadership team and the dedication of our Packaging Council, packaging technology teams, and manufacturing, regulatory, research, supply chain, and commercial colleagues, we’re rethinking our packaging and reducing our environmental footprint. In 2023, we also onboarded a packaging specification database to allow us to track packaging materials and identify areas where we can reduce our environmental impact. In addition, the database and our work in sustainable packaging will allow us to align with global regulations to improve packaging sustainability.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

64.5

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

-25.8

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

We anticipate capital spend to rise across 2024 - 2025 due to investment in wastewater minimization projects, however we have not estimated the exact % forward trend for neither CAPEX or OPEX at this stage.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

☒ No standardized procedure

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

In 2023, we evaluated approaches for integrating carbon pricing into our organization and began piloting carbon pricing in 2024. We have not evaluated approaches for integrating water pricing into our organization but recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more water efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste.

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Customers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Investors and shareholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Other value chain stakeholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Supplier impacts on water quality

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

15

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :In process

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We are in the process of evaluating our suppliers with respect to this environmental issue and have plans to develop an engagement prioritization process in the future.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Product safety and compliance

☒ Regulatory compliance

☒ Strategic status of suppliers

☒ Other, please specify :In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to water

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Not Applicable

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Compliance with environmental, health and safety (EHS) regulations and related compliance provisions are included in our contracts with our suppliers, which allows Zoetis to have accountability over them. In addition, we have a robust EHS review program to ensure compliance with all applicable contract provisions and regulations. In addition, a due diligence review is conducted as part of the onboarding process for all new suppliers.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify :Ensure our wastewater discharges meet safe emission limits

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☒ Certification

☒ Fines and penalties

☒ On-site third-party audit

☒ Second-party verification

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Zoetis requires suppliers to incorporate measures to prevent and minimize discharge of active substances into the environment. Zoetis is a PSCI member and PIE training materials are promoting environmental management and regulatory compliance.

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ None

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Non-compliant findings are discussed with Suppliers during the audits and Implementation of CAPA plans are agreed and tracked during periodical meetings up to completion to bring supplier back into compliance.

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE) program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this

process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Engagement & incentivization (changing supplier behavior)

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

☒ Other capacity building activity, please specify :Run an engagement campaign to educate suppliers about climate change

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

☒ Facilitate adoption of a unified climate transition approach with suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Products have an impact on the environment at each stage of their life cycle—from manufacturing to freight to end-of-life disposal. Understanding these impacts is crucial to achieving a more sustainable future. To help guide our packaging efforts, we formed a Packaging Council in 2020. This cross-functional team evaluates the safety, quality, sustainability, cost and customer experience considerations of all new packaging designs. In 2022, we created a sustainable packaging guidance document for suppliers to provide packaging specification guidelines for all products delivered to Zoetis. Developed with oversight by the Packaging Council and in partnership with business functions that will be most impacted—commercial, manufacturing, and research and development—the specifications outlined represent materials, attributes and formats that are strongly recommended and will support our packaging. While the specifications are not mandatory, we will require that our suppliers engage with us before delivering packaging that deviates from the materials and formats outlined within the guidance document. In 2023, the measure of success was beginning the rollout of the packaging guidance to key suppliers.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Engagement & incentivization (changing supplier behavior)

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☒ Other information collection activity, please specify :collection of data during audits, as requested

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Zoetis' manufacturing procurement contracts ask that suppliers support our Supplier Conduct Principles and conform to environmental, health and safety (EHS) expectations. Standard contract language also requires that manufacturing suppliers agree to be audited to assess compliance with these principles, if requested. Following an audit, we work with suppliers to develop action plans and track items to completion. In the area of environmental sustainability, the principles for our suppliers are consistent with Zoetis' corporate commitment to environmental responsibility by implementing systems to assure the safe handling and management of waste, wastewater and air emissions.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Impact of the engagement and measures of success

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with customers to drive support and understanding of the actions Zoetis is taking to reduce our environmental impacts, as well as the positive impact that improved animal health can have on emissions from livestock farms. This engagement increases the support customers have for more sustainably designed products and increases uptake of solutions which can reduce their emissions.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We believe that strategic collaborations can help accelerate progress and deliver a sustained positive impact. Our collaboration with globally and internationally recognized organizations has allowed us to engage in informed discussions, goal development and industry support on animal health, welfare and sustainability, particularly on the topic of sustainable beef production. Beef Roundtables: Comprised of beef producers, processors, allied services and industries, retail companies, civil society, consulting, and national or regional roundtables, the Global Roundtable for Sustainable Beef advances sustainability of the beef value. Zoetis' aquaculture business, PHARMAQ's innovative tools can help the aquaculture industry make informed decisions and increase efficiency while managing fish health, reducing avoidable losses and improving fish welfare. In 2022, Zoetis concluded a three-year training program designed to increase productivity, profitability and sustainability within Colombia's tilapia production value chain. The Fish for Development project aimed to help the fish farming industry stimulate economic growth,

create jobs and provide healthy food to Colombia's communities. PHARMAQ provided training on best practices for aquaculture and fish health management, including vaccination techniques.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with customers to drive support and understanding of the actions Zoetis is taking to reduce our environmental impacts, as well as the positive impact that improved animal health can have on emissions from livestock farms. This engagement increases the support customers have for more sustainably designed products and increases uptake of solutions which can reduce their emissions.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Farmer-led solutions are crucial to solving today's environmental challenges while helping to maintain a healthy, productive herd. A multi-year collaborative genomic project between Farmers for Sustainable Food and Zoetis demonstrated environmental impacts. Our PAH team has collaborated with DMI and the Ruminant Farm Systems (RuFaS) modeling team to augment the development and testing of the RuFaS on-farm emissions model. We provide animal health and genetics data as well as supportive research expertise to enable comprehensive modeling of dairy farm carbon footprints. This model will be used as the basis for the FARM Environmental Stewardship (ES) platform, with scientific support provided by DMI and, managed by the National Milk Producers Federation (NMPF) to develop emissions inventories and perform scenario analysis of the environmental impact of proposed on-farm management changes.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with investors to drive support and understanding of the actions Zoetis is taking to reduce our environmental impacts, as well as the positive impact that improved animal health can have on emissions from livestock farms. This engagement increases the support customers have for more sustainably designed products and increases uptake of solutions which can reduce their emissions.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

A key stakeholder group in our sustainability and ESG disclosures strategy is our investors/shareholders and we engage with them based on any questions or feedback they may have.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- ☒ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Industry groups and collaborations

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- ☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- ☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our Zoetis team actively participates on the Executive Committee of the Global Roundtable for Sustainable Beef, comprised of beef producers, processors, allied services and industries, retail companies, civil society, consulting, and national or regional roundtables to advance sustainability of the beef value chain and in Regional Beef Roundtables in Brazil, Canada, New Zealand and the U.S., where we support the development of regional sustainability goals, sector targets, tools and resources. Our Precision Animal Health team collaborated with DMI and the Ruminant Farm Systems (RuFaS) modeling team to augment the development and testing of the RuFaS on-farm emissions model. We provided animal health and genetics data as well as supportive research expertise to enable comprehensive modeling of dairy farm carbon footprints. This model will be used as the basis for the FARM Environmental Stewardship (ES) platform, with scientific support provided by DMI and, managed by the National Milk Producers Federation (NMPF) to develop emissions inventories and perform scenario analysis of the environmental impact of proposed on-farm management changes. According to the NMPF, cooperatives and processors representing 80% of the U.S. milk supply participate in the FARM ES program, and therefore, the Zoetis and DMI partnership represents a significant, while yet preliminary analysis of, the opportunity to understand levers that can improve the efficiency and environmental impact of milk production. The work completed in 2023 and continuing into 2024 will support the understanding of health and genetics as levers for environmental stewardship as they anticipate the launch of the updated FARM ES platform in 2024. In December 2022, a collaboration between Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Leachman Cattle of Colorado, LLC., B.R. Cutrer, Inc. and Zoetis was one of 71 projects selected as a grant recipient by the USDA's Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities to reduce methane production in the U.S. beef herd. Leveraging the expertise of our PAH team, the program provides mentorship, genetic technologies, and support to underserved producers and first-generation beef cattle ranching start-ups—ultimately helping them genetically select cattle for reduced feed consumption and lower methane impact. To date, we have collaborated to install animal feed intake, methane emission and weighing equipment at multiple locations with data collection beginning in 2024.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We believe that strategic collaborations can help accelerate progress and deliver a sustained positive impact. Our collaboration with globally and internationally recognized organizations has allowed us to engage in informed discussions, goal development and industry support on animal health, welfare and sustainability, particularly on the topic of sustainable beef production. Beef Roundtables: Comprised of beef producers, processors, allied services and industries, retail companies, civil society, consulting, and national or regional roundtables, the Global Roundtable for Sustainable Beef advances sustainability of the beef value. Zoetis' aquaculture business, PHARMAQ's innovative tools can help the aquaculture industry make informed decisions and increase efficiency while managing fish health, reducing avoidable losses and improving fish welfare. In 2022, Zoetis concluded a three-year training program designed to increase productivity, profitability and sustainability within Colombia's tilapia production value chain. The Fish for Development project aimed to help the fish farming industry stimulate economic growth, create jobs and provide healthy food to Colombia's communities. PHARMAQ provided training on best practices for aquaculture and fish health management, including vaccination techniques.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- ☒ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- ☒ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
- ☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- ☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with investors to drive support and understanding of the actions Zoetis is taking to reduce our environmental impacts, as well as the positive impact that improved animal health can have on emissions from livestock farms. This engagement increases the support investors have for more sustainably designed products and increases uptake of solutions which can reduce their emissions.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

A key stakeholder group in our sustainability and ESG disclosures strategy is our investors/shareholders and we engage with them based on any questions or feedback they may have.

[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, an acquisition

☒ Yes, other structural change, please specify :Lab Shutdowns

(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

Zoetis Cambridge (formerly Petmedix) and Adivo

(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

On September 15, 2023, Zoetis acquired two new VMRD facilities Zoetis Cambridge (formerly PetMedix) and Adivo. Zoetis also add new office in China. Zoetis site in Tullamore also expanding which contributes to the increase of CO2 emissions. Several Zoetis Reference Laboratories in the U.S. were closed during 2023.

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

	Base year recalculation	Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold	Past years' recalculation
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, because the operations acquired or divested did not exist in the base year	N/A	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

Zoetis reports scope 2 at the corporate-level with both location-based and market-based figures. As a global company, we use both methods to accurately provide our emissions with the different global data available. We also work with our team to identify any data gaps that might arise throughout the fiscal year especially in the new/closed offices in 2023. Energy data from the offices is estimated based on the square area of the office when energy invoices are not available. Market-based Scope 2 emissions are the basis of our carbon neutrality commitment, and we use these metrics to measure our performance.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Some site-managed company vehicles and forklifts are not included in the Scope 1 emission totals.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not evaluated

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Emissions from some site-managed company vehicles and forklifts are not included in our Scope 1 emissions totals.

Row 2

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Emissions of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF3) are currently being evaluated.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not evaluated

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Emissions of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF3) are currently being evaluated.

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

101498

(7.5.3) Methodological details

GHG emissions were calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

227904

(7.5.3) Methodological details

GHG emissions were calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

GHG emissions were calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel**(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29555

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions associated with air travel, hotel stays, car rentals and rail travel booked within Zoetis' travel system are calculated by a third-party who use activity data such as aircraft type, cabin class, and distance. Emissions associated with personal car and ride share are calculated using data from the company's reimbursement system. Excluded sources include travel booked outside of our travel system and booking changes.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting**(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12872

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions in this category include both commuting and telecommuting. For commuting, a hybrid average-based and distance-based method was used in accordance with the GHG Protocol Scope 3 Technical Guidance and based on headcount as of December 31st of the respective reporting year. Estimates were used for the

number of commuting days per week and assumed to be the same for 2021-2023. For telecommuting, the methodology outlined in the Anthesis Remote Worker Emissions Methodology, Feb 2021 report was used.
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO₂e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

111637

(7.6.3) Methodological details

GHG emissions were calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations. Carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) values include CO₂ methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). Emissions of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃) are currently being evaluated.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO₂e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

121167

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e) (if applicable)

177750

(7.7.4) Methodological details

GHG emissions were calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations. Carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) values include CO₂ methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). Emissions of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃) are currently being evaluated.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

21399

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Spend-based method
- ☒ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions associated with air travel, hotel stays, car rentals and rail travel booked within Zoetis' travel system are calculated by a third-party who use activity data such as aircraft type, cabin class, and distance. Emissions associated with personal car and ride share are calculated using data from the company's reimbursement system. Excluded sources include travel booked outside of our travel system and booking changes

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

14935

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Hybrid method
- ☒ Average data method
- ☒ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions in this category include both commuting and telecommuting. For commuting, a hybrid average-based and distance-based method was used in accordance with the GHG Protocol Scope 3 Technical Guidance, and based on headcount as of December 31st of the respective reporting year. Estimates were used for the number of commuting days per week and assumed to be the same for 2021-2023. For telecommuting, the methodology outlined in the Anthesis Remote Worker Emissions Methodology, Feb 2021 report was used.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

For the upstream leased assets that we operate in, these emissions (where available) are accounted for in the scope 1 & scope 2 footprint

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable. Zoetis does not have franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting.

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27034

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

11.6

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2023, Zoetis purchase bundled RECs for in US; Lincoln.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3788

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.63

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2023 Zoetis implemented 38 carbon abatement projects (the CO2e reduction from the projects are pro-rated estimations based on validated engineering calculation for a full year).

Divestment

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

76

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.03

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2023, Zoetis acquired two new VMRD facilities Zoetis Cambridge (formerly PetMedix) and Adivo.

Mergers

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

39636

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

17

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2023, Zoetis reduced production activities at several sites.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Unidentified

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Other

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☒ No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☒ Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☒ Yes

(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

	CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)	Comment
	1011	Emissions for biogenic carbon, and CH4 and N2O are included in our Scope 1 total.

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:
☒ No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

353

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

35.71

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

35.71

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1860

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3569.46

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3569.46

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.61

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.61

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2658

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2226.33

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1805

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1088.57

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.49

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1059

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

89.71

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

89.71

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

376

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

48.31

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

48.31

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3089

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9814.49

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9814.49

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

137

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.66

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.66

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.27

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.27

Croatia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

56

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.23

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.23

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

74

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

183.45

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

183.45

Ecuador

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

71

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.67

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.67

Egypt

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.82

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.82

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

France**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

678

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.56

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.56

Germany**(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

521

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

289.23

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

289.23

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

91

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

53.29

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

53.29

Guatemala

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

119

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.97

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.97

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

679

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2896.75

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2896.75

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.65

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.65

Iran (Islamic Republic of)

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

71.23

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6451

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10338.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1418.51

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

53

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.13

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.13

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7595

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5832.85

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5832.85

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

434

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

93.25

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

93.25

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.09

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.09

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

905

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.79

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.79

Morocco

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

186

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.3

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

283

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

66.42

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

66.42

Norway

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

314

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

214.13

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

214.13

Pakistan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.8

Panama

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.27

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.27

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.78

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.78

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

227

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

57.94

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

57.94

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

300

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

185

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

185

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

136

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.25

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.25

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

123

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.6

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Russian Federation

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

34

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.51

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.51

Saudi Arabia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.05

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.05

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.74

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.74

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

147.84

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

147.84

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3699

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3033.52

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3033.52

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

43

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.71

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.71

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

118

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.21

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.21

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

566

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

70.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

70.5

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

491

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.09

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.09

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.78

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.78

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

244

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40.83

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40.83

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

75360

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

136603.62

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

95510.96

Uruguay

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

36

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.73

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.73

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

215

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

44.38

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

44.38

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By business division

☒ By facility

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	GMS (Global Manufacturing & Supply)	71168
Row 2	Offices	100
Row 3	Pharmaq	6
Row 4	VMRD	16743

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 5	<i>Zoetis Reference Labs</i>	59
Row 6	<i>Fleet</i>	23562

[Add row]

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Irving Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

81

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.828074

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-97.032931

Row 2

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Parsippany (office)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

43

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.8653

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

74.4174

Row 3

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Chicago Heights

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13210

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

41.5061

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

87.6356

Row 4

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Thane (Mumbai)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

679

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

19.2183

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

72.9781

Row 5

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Salisbury (manufacturing)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.3607

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

75.5994

Row 7

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Whitehall

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

691

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.437

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

90.4032

Row 8

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Farum

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

37

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

55.813

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

12.3708

Row 9

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Suzhou Bio

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2892

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.2983

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.5832

Row 10

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Zaventem (office)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

56

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.8798

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.4728

Row 11

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Reno Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.5299

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

119.8143

Row 12

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Wellington

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

68

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.8509

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.7645

Row 13

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lewisberry Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

99

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.1351

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

76.8597

Row 14

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Campinas

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

907

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

22.9099

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

47.0626

Row 15

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Tallaght

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

514

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

53.2854

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

6.3658

Row 16

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Union City Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

45

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.5934

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

122.0439

Row 17

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Medolla

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

107

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

44.8461

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

11.068

Row 18

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Charles City

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12035

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

43.0664

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

92.6724

Row 19

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Salisbury Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

97

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.3607

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

75.5994

Row 20

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Union City Manufacturing

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

476

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.5934

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

122.0439

Row 21

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Overhalla

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

303

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

64.4761

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

11.8579

Row 23

(7.17.2.1) Facility

San Diego

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.7157

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

117.1611

Row 24

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Willow Island

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

399

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.3584

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

81.3082

Row 25

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Olot

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3061

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.1822

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

2.488

Row 26

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Downtown

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8338

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.2917

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-85.5872

Row 27

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Auckland Office

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.8509

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.7645

Row 28

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Buellton

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

44

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

34.6136

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.1927

Row 29

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Catania

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6943

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.5

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

15.0902

Row 30

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Rutherford

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

98

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-32.7203

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

151.5003

Row 31

(7.17.2.1) Facility

GMS Kalamazoo

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4475

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.2917

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

85.5872

Row 32

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lincoln

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14325

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.8136

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

96.7026

Row 33

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Marietta Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

122

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.4154

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

81.4548

Row 34

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Eagle Grove

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

138

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.6641

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

93.9044

Row 35

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Griesheim Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

32

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

49.8614

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

8.5676

Row 36

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Suzhou MFA

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

197

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.2983

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.5832

Row 37

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Durham

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

300

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

35.994

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

78.8986

Row 38

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Rathdrum

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5639

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.8124

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

116.8966

Row 39

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Tullamore

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

185

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

53.2755

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

7.4934

Row 40

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Louvain la Neuve

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2148

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.6681

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.6118

Row 41

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lee Summit Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

238

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.9108

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

94.3822

Row 42

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Melbourne

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

980

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-37.81534

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

144.96323

Row 43

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Sylvan Way

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

57.487258

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-4.246619

Row 44

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Fort Collins

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.58897

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-105.08246

Row 45

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Richland

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7896

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.2917

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-85.5872

Row 46

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Mukilteo

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

59

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.8872

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-122.2919

Row 47

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Global Operations (fleet data)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23562

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.84614

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-74.44564

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By business division

☒ By facility

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	GMS (Global Manufacturing & Supply)	146247	89243
Row 2	Offices	2967	2963
Row 3	Pharmaq	111	97
Row 4	VMRD	27173	27640
Row 5	Zoetis Reference Labs	1252	1223

[Add row]

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bangkok (Park Silom) Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Row 2

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Shanghai (Sichuan North Road)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

117

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

117

Row 4

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Warsaw Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

185

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

185

Row 6

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Jakarta Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

43

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

43

Row 7

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Buenos Aires Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36

Row 8

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hyderabad Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

Row 9

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51

Row 11

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Melbourne

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1731

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1731

Row 13

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lewisberry LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

435

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

522

Row 14

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Independence LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1004

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1562

Row 15

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Capelle Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Row 16

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Rutherford

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1750

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1750

Row 17

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Bergen

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

Row 18

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tokyo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

93

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

93

Row 19

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Wellington

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

54

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

54

Row 20

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Overhalla

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

107

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 21

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bangkok Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

34

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

34

Row 23

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Durham

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1092

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

997

Row 24

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Madrid Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16

Row 26

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

Row 27

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Marietta LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

239

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

215

Row 28

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Budapest Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

Row 29

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malvern Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

241

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

241

Row 31

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lahor Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Row 32

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tallaght

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

56

Row 33

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Suzhou MFA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1316

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1316

Row 34

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Chapeco Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

Row 35

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Union City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

906

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

151

Row 36

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Prague Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

32

Row 37

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sydney Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

64

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

64

Row 38

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Dublin Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

59

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 39

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Farum

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

170

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

170

Row 40

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

Row 41

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Rome Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25

Row 42

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Morrisville, NC Warehouse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Row 44

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mumbai Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

Row 46

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Eagle Grove

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

432

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

238

Row 47

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Beijing

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

140

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

140

Row 51

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sao Paulo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

Row 53

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Izmir Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

Row 54

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Vienna Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

Row 55

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Berlin Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

88

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

88

Row 57

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Salisbury

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

195

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

196

Row 59

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Union City Logistics

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

92

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15

Row 60

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Ho Chi Minh Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

Row 61

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14538

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7609

Row 62

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Rørvik

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 64

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Fort Collins

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

249

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

239

Row 65

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hanoi Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

Row 66

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Leatherhead Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

Row 67

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Porto Salvo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 69

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Nesna

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 70

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Willow Island

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 71

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Thessaloniki Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

Row 72

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Springdale, AK Warehouse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15

Row 73

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Oslo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 74

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Buellton

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

142

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24

Row 75

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Louvain La Neuve

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2150

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 76

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

35

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

35

Row 77

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Irving LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

206

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

206

Row 78

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mexico City Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

53

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

53

Row 79

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kiev Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

Row 80

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malakoff Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16

Row 83

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Seoul Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 85

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Salisbury LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 86

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kfar Saba Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 87

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Griesheim LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

125

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

125

Row 88

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Puerto Montt

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

35

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

35

Row 89

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bangkok Office Science Park

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26

Row 90

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Richland

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7016

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7172

Row 91

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Beijing Office Site (Changsheng Road)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Row 92

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kirkland Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

90

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

90

Row 93

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Banyo Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25

Row 94

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lima Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

Row 95

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Cairo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

Row 96

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Parsippany Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

Row 97

(7.20.2.1) Facility

San Diego (Diagnostics)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 99

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Medolla

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

266

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

266

Row 100

(7.20.2.1) Facility

White Hall

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2454

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1796

Row 101

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Singapore Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

Row 102

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Olot

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3014

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 103

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Chicago Heights

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13602

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11266

Row 104

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Navi Mumbai (Thane)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2813

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2813

Row 106

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bogota Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9

Row 107

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

148

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

148

Row 108

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD College Station Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

100

Row 109

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Catania

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5541

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5541

Row 110

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Overland Park LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 111

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Auckland Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

Row 112

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lincoln

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25469

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 113

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Parsippany Office Site #2

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

496

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

595

Row 114

(7.20.2.1) Facility

New York (Pumpkin Pet Ins) Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17

Row 115

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Zaventem Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

48

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 117

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Maroussi Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

48

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

48

Row 119

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Reno LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

135

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

163

Row 120

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Medan Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

Row 121

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lee's Summit LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

771

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1200

Row 122

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

58

Row 124

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Downtown

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16803

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17176

Row 125

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Delemont Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

Row 126

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Rathdrum

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7759

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 127

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Beijing Office (Xinyuan South Rd)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

Row 128

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Puerto Montt VMRD Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

Row 129

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Munich (Adivo)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

72

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

72

Row 130

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mont-Saint-Guibert Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

28

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8

Row 131

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Campinas

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1072

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 133

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Inverness

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

Row 135

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Suzhou Bios

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7708

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7708

Row 136

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Galway

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 137

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Cairo (Warehouse)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

Row 139

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tullamore

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1967

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1363

Row 140

(7.20.2.1) Facility

San Jose Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

Row 141

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Cantho City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

Row 143

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Istanbul Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

52

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

Row 144

(7.20.2.1) Facility

GMS Kalamazoo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14606

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

14867

Row 145

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Klofta

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 146

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kuala Lumpur Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27

Row 147

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Madrid Warehouse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

Row 148

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Moscow Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

Row 149

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Motevideo Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

Row 150

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Riyadh Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17

Row 151

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Santiago Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8

Row 152

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Torrance, CA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

22

Row 153

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Weibern 16 Hauptstrasse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

Row 154

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Weibern 28 Hauptstrasse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9

Row 155

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Akron Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

Row 156

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Bosie Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

Row 157

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Chicago Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

14

Row 158

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Cincinnati Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 159

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Dallas Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 160

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Denver Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Row 161

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Detroit Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

Row 162

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Ft. Lauderdale Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

Row 163

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Houston Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

Row 164

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Long Island Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

Row 165

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (China) Shanghai Swine

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

205

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

205

Row 166

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

249

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

249

Row 167

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZCAM

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

Row 168

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Louisville Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

434

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

434

Row 169

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Mukilteo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26

Row 170

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Salt Lake City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

28

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

28

Row 171

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) San Diego Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 172

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) San Francisco

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

Row 173

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Tampa HQ

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

32

Row 174

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Tampa Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17

Row 175

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Waukesha

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

Row 176

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Wayne

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

Row 177

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Woburn

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11

[Add row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☒ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

7958

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

569496

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

77455

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

121194

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

255129

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

376323

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

110

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

110

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

45059

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

45059

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

2244

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

2244

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

131396

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

869794

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1001192

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Zoetis does not currently use sustainable biomass

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

7958

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Ethanol used on our fleet vehicles

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Zoetis does not currently use other renewable fuels

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Coal is not consumed by the organization currently

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2316

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Oil is used to fire a steam boiler at one location.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

443984

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

19620

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Details from tri-generation activities at Catania, Italy

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

103577

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Propane Gas & Diesel used on our manufacturing sites, and Gasoline & Diesel used on our fleet vehicles

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

577455

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

See comments above

[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

9846

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

9846

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

2244

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

2244

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

4031

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

4031

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

87

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

87.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6369

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6369.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

119

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

119.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12654

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

123

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12777.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10903

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10903.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

119

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

119.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

230

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

230.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

15891

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

225

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

16116.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

38

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

38.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

129

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

129.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Croatia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

59

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

59.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1103

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1103.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Ecuador

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

18

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

18.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Egypt

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

32

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

32.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

153

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

153.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

611

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

611.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Greece

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

123

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

123.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Guatemala

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Honduras

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

67

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

67.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4022

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?*Select from:*☒ No**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4469.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded*Not Applicable***Indonesia****(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

96

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?*Select from:*☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

96.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Iran (Islamic Republic of)

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

159

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

159.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

23111

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

23111.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

44

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

44.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11893

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

8816

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1223

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

4031

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

25963.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

168

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

168.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

42

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

42.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

132

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

132.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Morocco

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

77

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

77.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

617

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

617.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Norway

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6797

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6797.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Pakistan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

25.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Panama

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

32

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

32.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

27

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

27.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

81

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

81.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

226

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

226.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

73

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

73.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

166

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

166.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Russian Federation

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

46

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

46.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Saudi Arabi

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

28

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

28.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

81

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

81.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

210

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

210.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14217

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

191

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14408.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

37

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

37.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

96

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

96.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

138

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

138.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

157

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

157.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Ukraine

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

49

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

49.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

166

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

166.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

264334

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

44

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

43836

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

308214.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Uruguay

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

Viet Nam

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

70

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

70.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not Applicable

[Fixed row]

(7.30.17) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity purchases in the reporting year by country/area.

Row 1

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Solar PVs, Wind

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12495

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

☒ 2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.17.12) Comment

In Belgium we purchase a GoO that is sourced from both solar PVs and wind. At this time the commissioning date of the generation facility is unknown.

Row 2

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

10729

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

☒ 2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.17.12) Comment

In Brazil we have renewable electricity sourced via a I-REC at this time the commissioning date of the generation facility is unknown.

Row 3

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

20196

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Certs from energy provider

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

☒ 2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.17.12) Comment

In Ireland, we receive certs from our energy provider confirming that we consume green energy. Because this electricity does not originate from one source we do not have a commissioning date.

Row 4

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Norway

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6797

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Norway

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

☒ 2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.17.12) Comment

In Norway, our green electricity provider does not provide information on the low-carbon technology type used. Because this electricity does not originate from one source we do not have a commissioning date.

Row 5

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Wind

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

14124

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

☒ 2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label**(7.30.17.12) Comment**

In Spain, we receive certs from our energy provider confirming that we consume green energy. Because this electricity does not originate from one source we do not have a commissioning date.

[Add row]

(7.30.18) Provide details of your organization's low-carbon heat, steam, and cooling purchases in the reporting year by country/area.**Row 1****(7.30.18.1) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ None (no purchases of low-carbon heat, steam, or cooling)**(7.30.18.6) Comment**

Our purchased steam at our Italy and US based operations does not originate from low carbon sources. The purchased heat at our Bergen (Norway) based operations originates from the district's waste incinerator.

[Add row]

(7.30.19) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity generation by country/area in the reporting year.**Row 1**

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

157

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

123

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

123

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 2

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

425

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

446

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

446

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 3

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Italy

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1600

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1214

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1214

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 4

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

191

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

191

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 5

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1500

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

225

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

225

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 6

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

48

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

45

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:
☒ No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable
[Add row]

(7.30.20) Describe how your organization’s renewable electricity sourcing strategy directly or indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid in the countries/areas in which you operate.

As part of our carbon-neutrality goal, we have committed to sourcing 100% renewable electricity across our global operations by 2030 and joined the RE100 initiative. We plan to achieve these commitments through a number of different strategies including power purchase agreements, green tariffs and other utility programs, and through the installation of on-site renewable electricity at sites. We continue to monitor the renewable electricity industry to understand new opportunities to help us meet our renewable electricity targets. In 2023, ten of our manufacturing sites operated with 100% renewable electricity, including four commercial operations in Norway and our offices in Zaventem, Belgium and Dublin, Ireland—our largest office outside the United States. As reported in the 2022 CDP climate submission, Zoetis has signed up to long-term virtual power purchase agreement (VPPA) with Vesper which help them develop a solar farm in Texas which was under construction in 2024 and aims to begin operation in 2025. In early 2024, we also entered into our second VPPA with Scout Clean Energy for their Heart of Texas wind farm. This 50 MW VPPA is equivalent to up to 65% of our annual U.S. electricity needs and will begin in the first half of 2024. In Europe and Asia, Zoetis continues to review opportunities to transition our electricity to renewable sources. These efforts underpin our commitment to sourcing 100% renewable electricity while simultaneously bringing ‘additionality’ to the market.

(7.30.21) In the reporting year, has your organization faced barriers or challenges to sourcing renewable electricity?

	Challenges to sourcing renewable electricity
	Select from:

	Challenges to sourcing renewable electricity
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, in specific countries/areas in which we operate

[Fixed row]

(7.30.22) Provide details of the country/area-specific challenges to sourcing renewable electricity faced by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.22.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.22.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

☒ Inability to buy Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) in small quantities

(7.30.22.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

In Europe, the majority of Zoetis's consumption is either covered by current or future planned onsite generation or through procurement of GoOs. The biggest challenge is obtaining renewable energy for sites that have small consumption volumes.

Row 2

(7.30.22.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.22.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Inability to buy Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) in small quantities

(7.30.22.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

In Europe, the majority of Zoetis's consumption is either covered by current or future planned onsite generation or through procurement of GoOs. The biggest challenge is obtaining renewable energy for sites that have small consumption volumes.

Row 3

(7.30.22.1) Country/area

Select from:

- ☒ Norway

(7.30.22.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Inability to buy Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) in small quantities

(7.30.22.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

In Europe, the majority of Zoetis's consumption is either covered by current or future planned onsite generation or through procurement of GoOs. The biggest challenge is obtaining renewable energy for sites that have small consumption volumes.

Row 4

(7.30.22.1) Country/area

Select from:

- ☒ Ireland

(7.30.22.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

☒ Inability to buy Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) in small quantities

(7.30.22.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

In Europe, the majority of Zoetis's consumption is either covered by current or future planned onsite generation or through procurement of GoOs. The biggest challenge is obtaining renewable energy for sites that have small consumption volumes.

[Add row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

27.2

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

232804

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

8544000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

27.5

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

☒ Other emissions reduction activities

☒ Change in output

☒ Change in revenue

☒ Other, please specify

(7.45.9) Please explain

In 2023, even as our business continued to grow, our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions intensity has decreased from 37.5 to 27.2. The reductions achieved in 2023 were primarily driven by a combination of energy efficiency efforts, acceleration of our renewable electricity program and an extended preventative maintenance program at two of our large manufacturing sites.

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

1729

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kilograms of Solid Non Hazardous waste recycled

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Millions of sales revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

13

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Not applicable

Row 3

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

1671

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kilograms of Hazardous Waste

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Millions of sales revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

11

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Not applicable

Row 4

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

1191

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kilograms of Solid Hazardous waste recycled

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Millions of sales revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

18

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Not applicable

Row 5

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

379

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

GJ

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Millions of sales revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

11

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Not applicable

Row 6

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

1729

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Kilograms of Solid Non Hazardous waste

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Millions of sales revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

13

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Not applicable

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2021

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

101498

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

186710

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

288208.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

100

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

111637

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

121167

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

232804.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ Yes, it covers land-related and non-land related emissions (e.g. SBT approved before the release of FLAG target-setting guidance)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

19.22

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our target covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 in our own operations. The percent reduction auto-calculated in the CDP disclosure was calculated based on the values in this disclosure. The percent change published in our 2023 sustainability report was based on a percentage calculated using adjusted historical Scope 1 and 2 emissions not updated in the report.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

In 2022, we set a goal to be carbon neutral in our own operations and accelerated our RE100 commitment to source 100% renewable electricity in our operations, both by 2030. To achieve carbon neutrality, we are focused on reducing emissions by: • Driving energy efficiency at our sites • Transitioning our fleet to more fuel-efficient, hybrid and electric vehicles, and utilizing biofuels where available • Powering our operations with clean, renewable electricity

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

In 2023, even as our business continues to grow, we've made progress toward our goal. Our annual total Scope 1 and 2 emissions decreased by 23.1% from 2022 to 2023. The reductions achieved in 2023 were primarily driven by a combination of energy efficiency efforts, acceleration of our renewable electricity program and an extended preventative maintenance program at two of our large manufacturing sites.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production

☒ Other climate-related targets

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Low 1

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

11/01/2021

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

☒ Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

12/31/2020

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

417313

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

8.7

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

100

(7.54.1.12) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in reporting year

32.6

(7.54.1.13) % of target achieved relative to base year

26.18

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Abs1

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ RE100

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

As part of our aspiration to achieve carbon neutrality, we accelerated our commitment to RE100 by 20 years, with a target of achieving 100% renewable energy sourcing by 2030.

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

Achieve 100% renewable energy sourcing by 2030.

(7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

As part of our carbon-neutrality goal, we have committed to sourcing 100% renewable electricity across our global operations by 2030 and joined the RE100 initiative. We plan to achieve these commitments by entering into power purchase agreements to procure renewable electricity in regions and markets where it is available and through the installation of on-site renewable electricity at suitable sites. We continue to monitor the renewable electricity industry to understand new opportunities to help us meet our renewable electricity targets. In 2023, ten of our manufacturing sites operated with 100% renewable electricity, including four commercial operations in Norway and our offices in Zaventem, Belgium and Dublin, Ireland—our largest office outside the United States.

[Add row]

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

03/10/2021

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Business activity

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

☒ Intensity

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy productivity

☒ Other, energy productivity, please specify :Metric tons CO2e from employee commuting and business travel

(7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Number of employees

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

4

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2025

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

3

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

2.58

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

142.0000000000

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes - Reduce transportation-related emissions by 25% by 2025

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Based on 2019 baseline. Reduction reported is total of business travel and colleague commuting normalized by number of colleagues for respective reporting year.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Rethink business travel and work-from-home policies to reduce transportation-related emissions by 25% by 2025

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Reduced colleague transportation-related emissions intensity by 35.6%.

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	2	<i>Numeric input</i>
To be implemented	3	0
Implementation commenced	23	2365
Implemented	38	9273
Not to be implemented	1	<i>Numeric input</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

278

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

47661

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

468667

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☒ Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1234

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

190203

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1002534

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

2 PV solar projects executed

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Insulation

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

258

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

188330

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

776334

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 21-30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Steam pipe insulation and roof insulation project

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

399

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

48625

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

286827

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

5 HVAC projects completed, including energy recovery, warehouses destratification fans & fresh air intake optimizations

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

86

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

8000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Optimizing downflow units set back during off periods

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Cooling technology

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

762

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

63330

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

218125

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Cooling tower optimizations, use of 'free Cooling'

Row 7

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Other, please specify :waste heat recovery

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

414

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

101517

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

120093

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Optimization of condensate recovery system

Row 8

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Waste reduction and material circularity

☒ Other, please specify :automation

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

573

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

76808

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

37963

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Automated controls on compressed air fans and vacuum pumps

Row 9

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Other, please specify :combined heat and power

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1852

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

688171

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2467880

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Trigeneration unit at Catania site in Italy

Row 10

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Other, please specify :compressed air

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

165

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

21908

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

8183

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Dew point optimization

Row 11

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Machine/equipment replacement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1493

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

182671

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2498749

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Compressor replacements

Row 12

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Motors and drives

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

374

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

42298

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

91591

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Row 13

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

618

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

171158

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

79159

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Boiler blowdown optimization & O2 trim fine tuning

Row 14

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Reuse of steam

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

581

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

71021

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

(7.55.2.7) Payback period*Select from:*☒ <1 year**(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative***Select from:*☒ 16-20 years**(7.55.2.9) Comment***Steam trap upgrades***Row 15****(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type****Energy efficiency in production processes**☒ Reuse of water**(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)**

268

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur*Select all that apply*☒ Scope 2 (market-based)**(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory***Select from:*☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

41277

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

218254

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Cooling tower improvements

Row 16

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Transportation

☒ Employee commuting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

64690

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

EV Charging stations at Zoetis sites

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

The Planet Steering Council is a working group dedicated to aligning business and sustainability priorities across our organization, which enables cross functional engagement from a variety of Zoetis business units including representatives from Communications, R&D, Global Manufacturing and Supply (GMS), among others. The Planet Steering Council divides work and accountability across our three key sustainability priorities within our Planet Pillar: minimizing our carbon footprint, rethinking our packaging, and improving the sustainability of our locations. These priority areas are further supported by cross-functional working groups. Site energy and sustainability teams were designated at major Zoetis sites to lead localized sustainability actions and their activities include determining emission reduction initiatives. Our Global Manufacturing and Supply leadership team reviews progress on our carbon neutral strategy and sustainability capital program on a quarterly basis. This capital review includes all projects with an energy and emission reduction benefit. To incentives progress we set internal targets annually towards longer term 2030 objectives. Additionally in 2024 we are piloting the use of an internal price on carbon as part of the capital management program within GMS.
[Add row]

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Zoetis controlled flow meters are used to measure the quantity of water withdrawn

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water withdrawals are measured at all of our manufacturing and R&D locations. These water withdrawals account for 98% of our total water withdrawals. Water withdrawals are measured on a monthly basis. Greater than 90% of our water withdrawals are measured using inline flowmeters. The remaining 10% of water withdrawals are at sites (typically small sites with low water usage intensity) where we do not have operational control of our water utility. In these locations, estimations are made based on number of personnel in the facility.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Zoetis controlled flow meters are used to measure the quantity of water withdrawn

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water withdrawals are measured at all of our manufacturing and R&D locations. These water withdrawals account for 98% of our total water withdrawals. Zoetis withdraws water from public city mains or from onsite ground wells. For water supplied by the city main, 90% of the water withdrawn is measured by an inline volumetric flow meter. The remaining 10% of water withdrawals are at sites (typically small sites with low water usage intensity) where we do not have operational control of our water utility. In these locations, estimations are made based on number of personnel in the facility. For water withdrawn from on-site wells or from local surface water bodies, Zoetis controlled flow meters are used to measure the quantity of water withdrawn.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

In line flow meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

Zoetis discharges are recorded at all of our primary manufacturing sites and R&D facilities. At our largest manufacturing and R&D facilities which account for 90% of our water usage, water discharge is measured with in line flow meters. At our smaller manufacturing facilities (where we have very low water usage intensity) and logistics centers, water is used for primarily for sanitary purposes. In these locations, water discharge is not measured. In these locations we report that all water intake is discharged to the local city sewer.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

In line flow meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

Zoetis discharges are recorded at all of our primary manufacturing sites and R&D facilities. Zoetis records water discharges to local city or 3rd party treatment facilities (87% of total discharges in 2022) and discharges to surface water bodies (13% of total discharges in 2022). We do not currently discharge water to the Sea or back to ground. At our largest manufacturing and R&D facilities which account for 90% of our water usage, water discharge is measured with in line flow meters. At our smaller manufacturing facilities (where we have very low water usage intensity) and logistics centers, water is used for primarily for sanitary purposes. In these locations, water discharge is not measured. In these locations we report that all water intake is discharged to the local city sewer

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Consumption is measured by calculating the total volume withdrawn minus the total volume discharged.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Consumption is measured by calculating the total volume withdrawn minus the total volume discharged.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Measured using tank level gauges and flowmeters where available. Data is estimated using engineering best practices where in line measuring instruments are not in place.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water recycle / reuse is recorded monthly at all of our manufacturing and R&D facilities. At present, 2 of our facilities are actively recycling water.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower**(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity**(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast**

Select from:

☒ Lower**(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast**

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity**(9.2.2.6) Please explain**

Zoetis water withdrawals decreased by 3% in 2023. The overall amount decreased as a result of some business facilities lowering its volume of production. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. In 2024, we are divesting some facilities which might impact the decrease water withdrawals number in the future.

Total discharges**(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)**

2401

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Water discharges decreased by 4% in 2023. The overall amount decreased as a result of some business facilities lowering its volume of production. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. In 2024, we are divesting some facilities which might impact the decrease water withdrawals number in the future.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

935

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Consumption is measured by calculating the total volume withdrawn minus the total volume discharged. As withdrawals decrease on the same rate with the decrease of discharge, water consumption remains the same in 2023. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

916

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Much lower

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

27.47

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

In 2023, the number of facilities that are located in areas with high water stress are increasing to 11 sites including Zoetis site in China, Belgium, and Australia. In the future the volume withdrawn from areas with water stress might decrease because some sites are being divested in 2024. In addition, for sites located in high-water stress regions—such as Catania, Italy—we are developing projects that will allow us to treat and reuse water onsite, significantly reducing the amount of hazardous wastewater sent for treatment and reducing the site's overall water needs. In our 2023 Sustainability report, the percentage of water intake (withdrawals) reported from areas with water stress in 2023 was 25.7%. We have confirmed that the correct percentage of water withdrawals from areas of water stress in 2023 was 27.47%.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

184

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This source is relevant to Zoetis, however overall withdrawal is low. It is a very small percentage overall of Zoetis's entire water withdrawal. Water withdrawal is from a local river at Rathdrum site.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2023, brackish surface water / seawater was not used by Zoetis.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

126

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This source is relevant, however actual withdrawal volumes from groundwater are very low

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2023, Groundwater-non renewable was not used by Zoetis.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2023, produced / entrained water was not used by Zoetis.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

3026

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

*In 2023, the overall amount decreased as a result of decrease in business activity.
[Fixed row]*

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

341

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

In 2023, sites that discharge water to the surface increased their business activity. As a result, there was a higher discharge of fresh surface water

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Brackish surface / seawater was not relevant in 2023 because we didn't discharge to brackish surface water.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Groundwater was not relevant in 2023 because we didn't discharge to groundwater.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2059

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

In 2023, Zoetis decreased the amount of water discharge to third-party destinations.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

11

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

☒ 1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

In 2023, manufacturing and R&D sites in areas of water stress include: Chicago Heights IL, Buellton CA, San Diego CA, Durham NC, Salisbury MD, Fort Collins CO, Louvain La Neuve Belgium, Catania Italy, Suzhou Bio & MFA China, and Melbourne Australia. Water stress was determined using World Resources Institute Aqueduct. In 2023, approximately 73% of our water withdrawals are within areas of low- to medium-water stress. For sites located in high-water stress regions—such as Catania, Italy—we are developing projects that will allow us to treat and reuse water onsite, significantly reducing the amount of hazardous wastewater sent for treatment and reducing the site's overall water needs.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

Zoetis intends to collect geographical coordinate information for our suppliers and use the WRI resource to determine the water stress score. This will be done in a phased approach, focusing on largest and most strategically important suppliers first.

[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Buellton

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Santa Ynez River Valley Groundwater Basin

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.62152

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-120.18504

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.65

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

2.65

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

2.65

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.65

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Catania

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Afghanistan

☒ Other, please specify :Mediterranean Sea Islands Basin

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.507873

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

15.08303

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

215.08

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

215.08

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

155.7

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

155.7

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

59.38

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :St. Illinois

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

41.52308

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-87.61786

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

435.98

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

64.91

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

371.06

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

458.79

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

458.79

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

-22.81

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%. Chicago heights water consumption is the result of operation variance (5%). The sites stored the water intake, hence the minus value come from the storage of last years intake

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Durham

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico, North Atlantic Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.87675

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-78.844348

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.94

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

1.94

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

2.15

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.15

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

-0.21

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Louvain La Neuve

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

☒ Other, please specify :Scheldt

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

50.66829

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

4.61443

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

105.87

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

105.87

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

80.79

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

80.79

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

25.08

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Melbourne

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Australia

☒ Other, please specify :Australia East Coast Basin

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

-37.813628

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

144.963058

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

14.82

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

14.82

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

3.46

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

1

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

3.46

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

11.36

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Salisbury Manufacturing

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :United States, North Atlantic Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

38.3607

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-75.5994

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.39

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.39

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.39

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.39

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

San Diego

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :California

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.014574

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.092899

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.77

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

1.77

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

1.77

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

1.77

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 9

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Suzhou Bios

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Other, please specify :China Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.30408

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

120.59538

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

123.68

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

123.68

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

64.34

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

64.34

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

59.34

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Suzhou MFA

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☒ Other, please specify :China Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.30408

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

120.59538

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.64

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

12.64

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.11

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.11

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.53

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 11

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 11

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

VMRD Fort Collins

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Mississippi - Missouri

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.58526

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-105.0844

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.45

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.45

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.44

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.44

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.01

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

For each of the aspects listed, there has been 0% verified.
[Fixed row]

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

8544000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

2561919.04

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

Zoetis is currently evaluating the future trend for water withdrawal requirements. With the divestitures occurring in 2024, we expect a downward trend. Some of the key influences on future water withdrawal requirements are: Increased output from water intensive processes Evaluation of opportunities to increase water recycling
[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

(9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.13.2) Comment

Some of our products contain active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which are the primary ingredients in our veterinary medicines. APIs and veterinary medicines are reviewed for potential hazards and risks by medicines Authorities/Agencies. In addition, some of our veterinary products may also contain excipients or other additives. The potential hazards and risks of incorporating these substances into veterinary medicines are also reviewed by medicines Authorities/Agencies. At this time, Zoetis has not identified the exact regulatory classification of the hazardous substances in our product per classification type per country. Therefore, we are unable to provide a breakdown of the revenue associated with these products.

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :We are unable to classify any products or services as low water impact

(9.14.4) Please explain

We are in the early stages of understanding water aspects that could have significant environmental, social and financial implications. Therefore, we cannot classify anything as low-water impact yet. As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We aim to better understand where water scarcity or issues related to clean water access may present significant business challenges in both our direct operations and supply chain.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

☒ Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We aim to better understand where water scarcity or issues related to clean water access may present significant business challenges in both our direct operations and supply chain. With this information, we will be better equipped to make important decisions that could have significant environmental, social and financial implications, including setting and monitoring water-related targets and/or goals.
[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (partial assessment)	<i>No comment</i>
UNESCO World Heritage sites	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
Ramsar sites	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
Key Biodiversity Areas	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (partial assessment)	N/A
Other areas important for biodiversity	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party	Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure	<i>We are waiting for more mature verification standards and/or processes.</i>

[Fixed row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(13.2.1) Additional information

Additional information can be found in the following documents: 1) Zoetis 2023 Sustainability Report: <https://www.zoetis.com/our-company/corporate-sustainability/downloads/zoetis-2023-sustainability-report.pdf> 2) Zoetis 2023 Annual Report: https://s203.q4cdn.com/620628704/files/doc_financials/2023/ar/Zoetis-Annual-Report-2023.pdf 3) Zoetis Notice of 2024 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement: https://s203.q4cdn.com/620628704/files/doc_financials/2023/ar/Zoetis-Proxy-Statement-2024.pdf 4) Zoetis Global Human Rights Policy: <https://www.zoetis.com/our-company/policies-and-procedures/global-human-rights-policy> 5) Zoetis Supplier Conduct Principles: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/2024/supplier-conduct-principles/supplier_conduct_principles.pdf 7) Quality and Innovation Committee Charter: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/2024/zoetis-charter-of-the-quality-and-innovation-committee.pdf

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Executive Vice President, Corporate Affairs, Communications and Chief Sustainability Officer

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☒ Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute

